

Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia https://veterinariamexico.fmvz.unam.mx/

Sheila Irais Peña-Corona<sup>1</sup> ip 0000-0003-2982-1315 Jose Pablo Gomez-Vazquez<sup>2</sup> i> 0000-0002-6712-0029 Evelyn Aditi López-Flores<sup>3</sup> ID 0000-0002-3560-8273 Dinorah Vargas Estrada<sup>4</sup> D 0000-0001-5399-609X Laura Olivia Arvizu-Tovar<sup>3</sup> 0000-0002-8321-5730 Juan José Pérez-Rivero<sup>5</sup> ID 0000-0003-1078-6695 Ivan Juárez Rodríguez<sup>3</sup> 0000-0001-8519-4243 Alonso Sierra Resendiz<sup>4</sup> 10 0000-0003-3053-193X Orbelin Soberanis-Ramos<sup>3\*</sup> 0000-0001-5419-0602

<sup>1</sup>Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Facultad de Química/Departamento de Biología, Ciudad de México, México

> <sup>2</sup>University of California, Davis/Center for Animal Disease Modeling and Surveillance, California, USA

<sup>3</sup>Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia/ Departamento de Medicina Preventiva y Salud Pública, Ciudad de México, México

<sup>4</sup>Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Facultad de Medicina Veterinaria y Zootecnia/ Departamento de Fisiología y Farmacología, Ciudad de México, México.

<sup>5</sup>Univeridad Autónoma Metropolitana, División de Ciencias Biológicas y de la Salud/ Departamento de Producción Agrícola y Animal, Ciudad de México, México.

> \*Corresponding author: Email address: orbelin@unam.mx

> > Submitted: 2021-10-24 Accepted: 2022-10-13 Published: 2022-11-08

Additional information and declarations can be found on page 8

© Copyright 2022 Sheila Irais Peña-Corona *et al.* 



Distributed under Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

open access **∂** 

# Use of an extrapolation method to estimate the population of cats and dogs living at homes in Mexico in 2022

# Abstract

Cats and dogs have a very close relationship with humans. Currently, the overpopulation of these species in various countries worldwide has been identified as a severe public health problem. To establish effective programs for population control, it is necessary to estimate the number of cats and dogs. To our knowledge, there are no studies that assess the number of canine and feline population by state in Mexico. Therefore, this study aimed to estimate the canine and feline population living at homes using official information reported by the National Institute of Statistics, Geography and Informatics [Instituto Nacional de Estadística Geografía e Informática] through a constant-share model, a ratio extrapolation method. The estimated dog population living at homes was 42 625 010 dogs and 7 346 925 cats (at least 5.8 times less than the number of dogs). By 2022, the Mexico's estimated human:dog ratio was 2.4:1, and the human:cat ratio 17.6:1. The Estado de México had more than six million dogs and 962 177 cats. Colima had the smallest estimated dog population (245 489), and Baja California Sur the smallest estimated cat population (slightly over 30 000). Health authorities and veterinary practitioners should promote responsible ownership to increase veterinary care and control the birth of dogs and cats.

*Keywords:* canine/feline overpopulation; public health; human:cat/dog ratio, Mexico; constant-share model.

*Cite this as:* Peña-Corona SI, Gomez Vazquez JP, López Flores EA, Vargas Estrada D, Arvizu Tovar LO, Pérez Rivero JJ, Juárez Rodríguez I, Sierra Resendiz A, Soberanis Ramos O. Use of an extrapolation method to estimate the population of cats and dogs living at homes in Mexico in 2022. Veterinaria México OA. 2022;9. doi: 10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1001

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1001 Vol. 912022

### Study contribution

This study provides information on the number of dogs and cats living at homes in Mexico. The estimation reported in this paper suggests that the population of dogs in households will be at least 42 625 010 in Mexico in 2022. The cat population in households of Mexico is 5.8 times lower than the number of dogs, with a total of 7 346 925 expected by 2022. Health authorities and veterinary practitioners should promote responsible ownership to increase veterinary care and population control of dogs and cats.

# Introduction

Cats and dogs have a very close relationship with humans; dogs are estimated to have lived with humans for at least 15 000 years, and cats have been domesticated more than 10 000 years ago.<sup>(1)</sup> In addition, cats and dogs satisfy essential zootechnical functions: companion animals provide their owners companionship, reducing feelings of isolation and loneliness and generally supporting people's physical and mental health. This support reduced negative health effects associated with the stress of the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>(2)</sup> Cats and dogs depend on the human population's activities because people usually provide direct or indirect support (food, water, shelter) to survive and reproduce.<sup>(3, 4)</sup>

Although natural processes limit the excessive growth of the population of cats and dogs (i. e., only one female from the pack reproduces, the weakest specimens die, the absence of preventive and curative medicine); the overpopulation of cats and dogs occurs in various countries worldwide and is considered a severe public health problem.<sup>(5, 6)</sup> The causes that have favored the excessive growth of the number of cats and dogs are diverse; uncontrolled breeding, the abandonment of animals on public roads and the availability of their food resources, the lack of official population control programs, and the absence of domestic animal trade regulation.<sup>(7, 8)</sup> Furthermore, the scarce information and awareness of human society regarding the responsibility to maintain and care for their animals has also played an essential role in the overpopulation of these animals, in addition to the specific characteristics of dogs and cats, such as their great adaptability to complex environments.<sup>(9, 10)</sup>

Through a meta-analysis study, more than 700 million dogs were estimated worldwide in 2014.<sup>(6)</sup> In México, estimations indicate that there are 23 million dogs and cats, of which 30% live at homes, and the remaining 70% are homeless.<sup>(11)</sup> However, there are no censuses with a statistical base on existing cats and dogs' numbers to our knowledge. There are currently methods to estimate the population of dogs and cats living at homes, such as random surveys through telephone calls or home visits, statistical models to estimate animal populations based on the human population or other data.<sup>(4, 9, 12)</sup> However, to calculate the number of dogs and cats living at homes is necessary to visualize the circumstances and resources available in each area and the susceptibility of bias in the method to be chosen as under/overestimate the population size or the failure to locate or contact a household.<sup>(4,13)</sup>

In 2014, the National Institute of Statistics, Geography and Informatics [Instituto Nacional de Estadística Geografía e Informática] (INEGI) made the "Bienestar Subjetivo en México, Módulo BIARE Ampliado" survey, regarding the welfare of the human population of Mexico. This survey was applied during home visits of the 32 states of Mexico stratified by socioeconomic status (low, medium-low, medium-high, and high) and involving three selection stages. One of the survey questions asked if an adult per household had a pet and what kind (dog, cat, other).<sup>(14)</sup> Although this survey provided a reasonable estimate of the proportion of adults who owned a dog or a cat, it has never been used to attempt population estimates. In addition, it is essential to estimate the population of canines and felines living in Mexico to estimate the population of stray dogs and cats.<sup>(3)</sup>

Population size estimation of the cats and dogs in the states of Mexico will allow preparing a budget and a strategy to formulate and address public policies and the design of adequate control strategies population of cats and dogs. This study aims to use the official data reported by INEGI in 2014 to estimate the size of the cat and dog population living at homes in Mexico toward a constant-share model.

# Materials and methods

## Study design

The present work is a retrospective study; the calculation of the variables was made using R statistical software version 4.02.<sup>(15)</sup>

# Estimation of the dog and cat population living at homes in Mexico

We used a constant-share model, a ratio extrapolation method, which can be applied in situations where the area (population) of interest is linked to the "parent" area (population) and which assumes that a smaller area's share of the larger area's population is held constant at a level observed during a base period.<sup>(12)</sup> Data from the "Bienestar Subjetivo en México, Módulo BIARE Ampliado" survey made in 2014 was used as the population in a smaller area. We obtained the number of dogs and cats indirectly by considering the number of adults who responded yes on owning a dog and/or cat to estimate the dog and cat population living at homes by state. We consider the human population reported in 2014 and the human population projection for 2022 made by the National Population Council [Consejo Nacional de Población] (CONAPO).<sup>(16)</sup>

The constant-share model is expressed as:

$$P_{it} = \frac{P_{i1}}{P_{J1}} P_{jt}$$

Where:  $P_{it}$  is the canine population projection in 2022,  $P_{i1}$  the canine population of 2014,  $P_{j1}$  the adult population of 2014, and  $P_{jt}$  is the projection of adults in 2022.

We also estimated the proportions of adults who owned a dog and adults who owned a cat, and the human:dog and human:cat ratios by dividing the estimated total adult population in Mexico in 2022 reported by CONAPO<sup>(16)</sup> into the estimated canine and feline population for 2022.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1001

# **Results and discussion**

To our knowledge, there are no censuses or approximations with a solid statistical base on existing cat's and dogs' numbers. Therefore, in this paper using the official data reported from "Bienestar Subjetivo en México; Módulo BIARE Ampliado" survey made in 2014 by INEGI<sup>(14)</sup> were estimate 42 625 010 dogs and 7 346 925 cats in Mexico in 2022 through a constant-share model.<sup>(12)</sup>

According to data reported in 2014 from the "Bienestar Subjetivo en México; Módulo BIARE Ampliado" survey,<sup>(14)</sup> 39 million and 6 million out of the 80 million adults per household answered had at least one dog or cat respectively. Thus, at least 56% of adults in Mexico had a dog or cat, 49% had a dog, and 7.5% of adults had a cat in 2014. This data are consistent with the reported in other countries as Guatemala, Brazil, Chile, Peru that has dog-owning households account for half or more of all the homes studied<sup>(17)</sup> in Ethiopia, 65.1% of households owned dogs, and 39.2% of them own cats.<sup>(18)</sup>

According to the constant-share model, the estimation of the population by state revealed that the state with the largest dog population is the Estado de México, with more than six million dogs living at homes. In contrast, the state with the smallest dog population was Colima with 245 489 (Table 1). The average number of dogs in households, considering the number of dogs in all the states of Mexico, was 1 332 032, and the sum of the number of dogs living at homes from all the states was 42 625 010.

Estimating the cats' population with the constant-share model change used in this paper suggests that the number of cats in households throughout Mexico (7 346 925) is at least 5.8 times less than that of dogs. The state that leads the list is the Estado de México, with 962 177 cats. Baja California Sur has the least number of cats with just over 30 000 (Table 1). The average number of cats living at homes in the different states of Mexico was 229 579.

The 95% confidence interval (CI) of adults that own at least one dog/cat in 2022 are shown in Table I. The ownership of dogs per adult in Mexico varies between 30 and 60%. According to the results obtained, in Guanajuato, 58% of adults own a dog. The state where the adults have fewer dogs is Ciudad de México, with 31%. The average number of adult dog owners in Mexico is 49.71%. The number of adult owners of cats varies between 5 and 14%. Michoacán was the state in which more adults owned cats are reported (13.73%). In contrast, Chiapas and Ciudad de México have fewer adults who own a cat (lower than 5%). The average of adults who own cats in Mexico is 8.8% (Table I).

Considering the total population, Mexico's human:dog ratio was 2.4:1, and the human:cat ratio was 17.6:1. The highest human:dog ratio of the 32 states corresponded to Ciudad de México with 4.2:1, while Estado de México, Guanajuato, and Yucatán have the lowest human:dog ratio (2.6:1). The highest human:cat ratio was estimated for Chihuahua, with 31.4:1, while that of Michoacán and Yucatán was the lowest (11:1) (Table 1).

The human to dog/cat ratio is often used as an indicator of canine or feline over-population.<sup>(18)</sup> In this study, the estimate for the year 2022 of the relation human: dog and human: cat was established for each Mexican state; other studies that have shown a similar assessment of human:dog living at homes; in Puebla, Mexico was 3.4:1,<sup>(19)</sup> in Mexicali, Baja California was 4.3:1.<sup>(20)</sup> In the current study, the estimate was 2.8:1 for Puebla and 3.0:1 for Baja California. In other countries

 Table 1. Estimated proportions of adults that owned at least one dog/cat and the human:dog; human:cat relationship in each state of Mexico for 2022

Adults : dogs proportion (CI) <sup>1</sup>	Adults : cats proportion (CI)	Estimated dogs	Estimated cats	Human : dog ratio	Human : cat ratio
0.4570 (0.4559 - 0.4581)	0.0605 (0.0601 - 0.0611)	435 786	57 732	3.3 : 1	25.2 : 1
0.4789 (0.4783 - 0.4795)	0.0551 (0.0548 - 0.0554)	1 208 477	139 122	3.0 : 1	26.4 : 1
(0.4758 - 0.4785)	(0.0535 - 0.0547)	266 954	30 282	3.0 : 1	26.8 : 1
(0.518 - 0.5205)	(0.0887 - 0.0901)	352 591	60 702	2.8 : 1	16.4 : 1
(0.3091 - 0.3098)	(0.0492 - 0.0495)	2 104 749	335 871	4.2 : 1	26.5 : 1
(0.5018 - 0.503)	(0.047 - 0.0476)	1 747 581	164 582	3.5 : 1	20.7 : 1
(0.4682 - 0.4693)	(0.0782 - 0.0788)	1 201 936	201 321	3.0 : 1	31.4 : 1
(0.5566 - 0.558)	(0.0566 - 0.0573)	1 194 600	122 033	2.7 : 1	26.4 : 1
(0.4537 - 0.4565)	(0.0944 - 0.0961)	245 489	51 372	3.2 : 1	15.2 : 1
(0.4912 - 0.4931)	(0.0824 - 0.0835)	600 109	101 149	3.1 : 1	18.3 : 1
(0.5552 - 0.5558)	(0.0795 - 0.0799)	6 706 251	962 177	2.6 : 1	18.2 : 1
(0.5054 - 0.5067)	(0.1072 - 0.108)	1 164 644	247 540	3.1 : 1	14.5 : 1
(0.5825 - 0.5835)	(0.0735 - 0.074)	2 379 129	300 919	2.6 : 1	20.5 : 1
(0.5518 - 0.5532)	(0.1118 - 0.1126)	1 142 493	232 006	2.7 : 1	13.2 : 1
(0.4638 - 0.4646)	(0.0881 - 0.0886)	2 622 731	499 363	3.2 : 1	16.7 : 1
(0.5057 - 0.5069)	(0.1369 - 0.1377)	1 576 997	427 638	3.0 : 1	11.0 : 1
(0.5105 - 0.5122)	(0.1136 - 0.1147)	719 841	160 645	2.8 : 1	12.6 : 1
(0.4897 - 0.4918)	(0.1261 - 0.1276)	419 641	108 445	3.0 : 1	11.8 : 1
(0.4509 - 0.452)	(0.0604 - 0.0609)	1 763 372	236 780	3.2 : 1	23.7 : 1
(0.5182 - 0.5194)	(0.1134 - 0.1142)	1 394 967	306 079	2.9 : 1	13.3 : 1
(0.5501 - 0.551)	(0.115 - 0.1156)	2 377 201	497 873	2.8 : 1	13.2 : 1
(0.5342 - 0.5359)	(0.0929 - 0.0939)	834 436	145 659	2.8 : 1	15.8 : 1
(0.4561 - 0.4581)	(0.0814 - 0.0825)	545 434	97 777	3.2 : 1	17.9 : 1
(0.446 - 0.4473)	(0.0997 - 0.1005)	962 155	215 712	3.3 : 1	14.6 : 1
(0.5263 - 0.5277)	(0.0916 - 0.0925)	1 003 550	175 254	2.8 : 1	16.3 : 1
(0.5104 - 0.5118)	(0.0882 - 0.089)	1 077 319	186 668	2.9 : 1	16.6 : 1
(0.4162 - 0.4178)	(0.0528 - 0.0535)	713 210	90 945	3.6 : 1	28.0 : 1
(0.488 - 0.4892)	(0.066 - 0.0667)	1 203 024	163 357	3.0 : 1	22.0 : 1
0.5587 (0.5576 - 0.5597)	0.1054 (0.1047 - 0.1061)	515 056	97 177	2.7 : 1	14.2 : 1
0.4700 (0.4695 - 0.4704)	0.1074 (0.1071 - 0.1076)	2 723 861	622 382	3.1 : 1	13.5 : 1
0.5620 (0.5611 - 0.5628)	0.1309 (0.1303 - 0.1314)	886 808	206 525	2.6 : 1	11.0 : 1
0.4991 (0.4981 - 0.5001)	0.0951 (0.0945 - 0.0956)	534 619	101 840	3.0 : 1	16.0 : 1
	0.4570 (0.4559 - 0.4581) 0.4783 - 0.4795) 0.4771 (0.4758 - 0.4785) 0.5192 (0.518 - 0.5205) 0.3094 (0.3091 - 0.3098) 0.5024 (0.5018 - 0.503) 0.4687 (0.4682 - 0.4693) 0.5566 - 0.558) 0.4551 (0.4537 - 0.4565) 0.4921 (0.4912 - 0.4931) 0.5555 (0.5552 - 0.5558) 0.5061 (0.5054 - 0.5067) 0.5825 (0.5582 - 0.5835) 0.5061 (0.5054 - 0.5067) 0.5825 (0.5518 - 0.5532) 0.5518 - 0.5532) 0.5513 (0.5057 - 0.5069) 0.5113 (0.5105 - 0.5122) 0.4642 (0.4638 - 0.4646) 0.5063 (0.5057 - 0.5069) 0.5113 (0.5105 - 0.5122) 0.4908 (0.4897 - 0.4918) 0.4514 (0.4509 - 0.452) 0.5182 (0.5501 - 0.512) 0.5188 (0.5182 - 0.5194) 0.5182 (0.5501 - 0.4518) 0.4571 (0.4561 - 0.4581) 0.5350 (0.5501 - 0.551) 0.5350 (0.5501 - 0.551) 0.5570 (0.5501 - 0.4518) 0.4466 (0.446 - 0.4473) 0.5270 (0.5263 - 0.5277) 0.5111 (0.5104 - 0.5118) 0.4470 (0.4162 - 0.4178) 0.5587 (0.5576 - 0.5597) 0.4700 (0.4695 - 0.4704) 0.4886 (0.488 - 0.4892) 0.5587 (0.5576 - 0.5597) 0.4700 (0.4695 - 0.4704) 0.5620 (0.5611 - 0.5628) 0.4991	proportion (ČI)1proportion (CI)0.45700.0605(0.4559 - 0.4581)(0.0601 - 0.0611)0.47830.0551(0.4783 - 0.4795)(0.0548 - 0.0554)0.47710.0541(0.4758 - 0.4785)(0.0535 - 0.0547)0.51920.0894(0.518 - 0.5205)(0.0887 - 0.0901)0.30940.0494(0.3091 - 0.3098)(0.0492 - 0.0495)0.50240.0785(0.5018 - 0.503)(0.047 - 0.0476)0.46870.0785(0.566 - 0.553)(0.0782 - 0.0788)0.55730.0569(0.5562 - 0.558)(0.0762 - 0.0788)0.55730.0569(0.5554 - 0.5555)0.0797(0.5555 - 0.0799)0.50610.50610.1076(0.5054 - 0.5667)(0.1072 - 0.108)0.5825 - 0.5558)(0.0735 - 0.074)0.5525 - 0.5558)(0.0735 - 0.074)0.5525 - 0.5558)(0.0735 - 0.074)0.5525 - 0.1122(0.5518 - 0.5532)(0.5113 - 0.1126)0.46420.06830.1373(0.5057 - 0.5069)(0.1369 - 0.1377)0.5113 - 0.1141(0.5105 - 0.5122)(0.5113 - 0.1147)0.49080.1268(0.4638 - 0.452)(0.0604 - 0.0609)0.5188 - 0.1373(0.5501 - 0.551)(0.1134 - 0.1147)0.49080.1268(0.5501 - 0.551)(0.1153 - 0.1153(0.5501 - 0.551)(0.1154 - 0.1156)0.55250.01934(0.5514 - 0.5118)(0.0882 - 0.0939)0.4466 <td>proportion (CI)         proportion (CI)         dogs           0.4570         0.0605         (0.061)         0.0611           0.4789         0.0551         1 208 477           0.4783         0.04795         (0.0548 - 0.0554)         1 208 477           0.4771         0.0541         266 954           0.4771         0.0591         252 591           0.5192         0.0894         352 591           0.3094         0.0494         2 104 749           0.5024         0.0493         1 747 581           0.4687         0.0785         1 201 936           0.5553         0.0472 - 0.0476)         1 747 581           0.4687         0.0785         1 201 936           0.5555         0.0797         6 706 251           0.4687         0.04551         0.0952           0.4551         0.0952         245 489           0.4921         0.0830         600 109           0.5555         0.0797         6 706 251           0.5552         0.1727 - 0.108         1 164 644           0.5630         0.0737         2 379 129           0.5552         0.1122         1 142 493           0.5663         0.1377         1 576 997</td> <td>proportion (CI)         proportion (CI)         dogs         cats           0.4570         0.0605         435 786         57 732           (0.4789         0.0551         1208 477         139 122           0.4771         0.0541         266 954         30 282           0.5192         0.0894         352 591         60 702           0.3094         0.0492         0.0494         2104 749         355 871           0.5024         0.0475         1 747 581         164 582           0.4687         0.0785         1 201 936         201 321           0.5573         0.0782         0.0785         1 201 936         201 321           0.5566         0.0566         0.0573         1 194 600         122 033           0.4551         0.0566         0.0573         1 194 600         122 033           0.4551         0.0566         0.0573         1 194 600         122 033           0.4551         0.0565         0.0797         6 706 251         962 177           0.5555         0.0737         2 379 129         300 919           0.5525         0.0735         1 142 493         232 006           0.4583         0.4642         0.0884         2 622 731</td> <td>proportion (Ci)         proportion (Ci)         dogs         cats         ratio           0.4570         0.0605         0.4581         0.0661 - 0.0611         435 786         57 732         3.3 : 1           0.4789         0.0581         0.0581         12.08 477         139 122         3.0 : 1           0.4789         0.0551         0.0648 - 0.0554)         266 954         30 282         3.0 : 1           0.6372         0.0394         0.0552 - 0.0547)         266 954         30 282         3.0 : 1           0.5192         0.0894         0.0494         0.0492 - 0.0495         2 104 749         335 871         4.2 : 1           0.0504         0.0492 - 0.0495         1 747 581         164 582         3.5 : 1         0.4687           0.0487         0.0785         1 201 936         201 321         3.0 : 1         0.0452           0.4687         0.0782         0.0786         1 201 936         201 321         3.0 : 1           0.4551         0.0952         1 245 489         51 372         3.2 : 1         0.4481           0.4551         0.0952         1 060 120         144         247 540         3.1 : 1           0.4552         0.5558         0.0777         2 79 129         300 919</td>	proportion (CI)         proportion (CI)         dogs           0.4570         0.0605         (0.061)         0.0611           0.4789         0.0551         1 208 477           0.4783         0.04795         (0.0548 - 0.0554)         1 208 477           0.4771         0.0541         266 954           0.4771         0.0591         252 591           0.5192         0.0894         352 591           0.3094         0.0494         2 104 749           0.5024         0.0493         1 747 581           0.4687         0.0785         1 201 936           0.5553         0.0472 - 0.0476)         1 747 581           0.4687         0.0785         1 201 936           0.5555         0.0797         6 706 251           0.4687         0.04551         0.0952           0.4551         0.0952         245 489           0.4921         0.0830         600 109           0.5555         0.0797         6 706 251           0.5552         0.1727 - 0.108         1 164 644           0.5630         0.0737         2 379 129           0.5552         0.1122         1 142 493           0.5663         0.1377         1 576 997	proportion (CI)         proportion (CI)         dogs         cats           0.4570         0.0605         435 786         57 732           (0.4789         0.0551         1208 477         139 122           0.4771         0.0541         266 954         30 282           0.5192         0.0894         352 591         60 702           0.3094         0.0492         0.0494         2104 749         355 871           0.5024         0.0475         1 747 581         164 582           0.4687         0.0785         1 201 936         201 321           0.5573         0.0782         0.0785         1 201 936         201 321           0.5566         0.0566         0.0573         1 194 600         122 033           0.4551         0.0566         0.0573         1 194 600         122 033           0.4551         0.0566         0.0573         1 194 600         122 033           0.4551         0.0565         0.0797         6 706 251         962 177           0.5555         0.0737         2 379 129         300 919           0.5525         0.0735         1 142 493         232 006           0.4583         0.4642         0.0884         2 622 731	proportion (Ci)         proportion (Ci)         dogs         cats         ratio           0.4570         0.0605         0.4581         0.0661 - 0.0611         435 786         57 732         3.3 : 1           0.4789         0.0581         0.0581         12.08 477         139 122         3.0 : 1           0.4789         0.0551         0.0648 - 0.0554)         266 954         30 282         3.0 : 1           0.6372         0.0394         0.0552 - 0.0547)         266 954         30 282         3.0 : 1           0.5192         0.0894         0.0494         0.0492 - 0.0495         2 104 749         335 871         4.2 : 1           0.0504         0.0492 - 0.0495         1 747 581         164 582         3.5 : 1         0.4687           0.0487         0.0785         1 201 936         201 321         3.0 : 1         0.0452           0.4687         0.0782         0.0786         1 201 936         201 321         3.0 : 1           0.4551         0.0952         1 245 489         51 372         3.2 : 1         0.4481           0.4551         0.0952         1 060 120         144         247 540         3.1 : 1           0.4552         0.5558         0.0777         2 79 129         300 919

<sup>1</sup>CI: 95% onfidence interval, calculated using the constant-share model with information based on official census data.<sup>(14)</sup>

as Ethiopia, the human to dog ratio was 6:1, and the human to cat ratio was 10:1,<sup>(18)</sup> whereas 5:1–6:1 was reported from urban places of Chile,<sup>(21)</sup> 3.8:1 for Philippines.<sup>(22)</sup> The variation in the dog and cat population in the different reports could be related to the countries' socioeconomic status and cultural differences.

The present study is based on official data reported by INEGI, where the sample size was designed to guarantee the national and federal representativeness of the responses of the adult population.<sup>(14)</sup> INEGI carried out around 44 500 random surveys in Mexico, a figure that is higher than 38 405 surveys, which is the amount of the sample size required to have a 95% of confidence level and a margin of error of 0.05 with a population of 131 701 579 Mexicans 2022, according to the Survey System Software (https://www.surveysystem.com/sample-size-formula.htm).

This study shows the proportion of adults who own dogs and cats, including the total of adults surveyed with a CI of 95%, indicating that the real value within the given range is 95% certainty. Furthermore, reports suggest that the household selection method must guarantee a random and representative sample of the region. <sup>(3)</sup> Therefore, it is fair to say that the sample they used to carry out the survey is representative and extrapolated.

In the present work, a growth of the population of dogs in households is 26.15% and cats of 6.8% in 8 years is estimated. In Mexico, although the parameters used are unknown, a population growth rate of stray dogs of 20% in 10 years has been estimated.<sup>(23)</sup> It is known that the growth of the canine and the feline population is not linear, especially in animals that are not under the direct care of humans.<sup>(24)</sup> So, it is necessary to perform further studies to validate the population growth rate in Mexico since estimations indicate that 30% of animals are living at homes, and the remaining 70% are homeless.<sup>(11)</sup>

The canine and feline overpopulation represent a serious problem.<sup>(25)</sup> The most common strategies for population control are humanitarian euthanasia and surgical spaying and neutering.<sup>(26, 27)</sup> However, Diase et al.<sup>(28)</sup> reported that they do not have a significant impact on the decrease in the animal population since it has even been estimated that with neutering 100% of the animals annually, it would not be possible to obtain proportions higher than 86% and 88% of the dogs and cats neutered respectively after 20 years due to the high introduction of new intact animals, and would only obtain a reduction of 12-14% of the dog population.<sup>(28)</sup>

So, the population control of dogs and cats should be taking on count not only spaying and neutering campaigns but also the improvement of responsible ownership the abandonment, and by reducing the carrying capacity of the environment as availability of water and food, shelter, the mindset and behavior of human beings, and the fact that dogs and cats are very prolific species.<sup>(8, 28)</sup> Female dogs present one to three estrous cycles each year and can have more than ten puppies in each litter; the cats have cycles continuously every 4 to 30 days if exposed to 14 light hours per day and can have 1 to 5 kittens per litter.<sup>(29, 30)</sup> According to the World Organization for Animal Health, encouraging responsible dog ownership can significantly reduce the number of dogs and cats and the incidence of zoonotic diseases; since feline and canine ecology is linked to human activities, thus, the effective control of the dog and cat population must be accompanied by changes in human behavior.<sup>(8, 31)</sup>

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1001 Vol. 9 1 2022

A significant limitation in this study is the limited information available and therefore is difficult to estimate the number of cats and dogs with a robust statistical model. Despite the limited data availability, we used the constant-share model to estimate the cat and dog population. This method requires historical data from only one point and assumes that all the smaller areas will grow simultaneously as the parent area.<sup>(12)</sup> Furthermore, we assumed that the proportion of people who owned dogs and cats in 2014 is the same as those who owned a canine or feline in 2022. In the BIARE survey, one adult per house was asked if they had at least an animal or pet for company.

A positive answer corresponds only to a dog or cat; therefore, the estimation reported in this paper could represent an overestimate population size bias because it is probably that in a house, there is more than one dog. Thus, national censuses for the pet population are necessary to use more robust statistic methods that considered load capacity, cat and dog birth, and the female: male ratio to obtain exact data of feline and canine population in Mexico.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2022.1001

# **Data availability**

All relevant data are within the manuscript. The datasets used and analyzed here are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

### Acknowledgments

Authors express their gratitude to Carlos E. Martínez R. for his support in the use of R software, and to Marta Merino Galindo for her aid with manuscript correction.

#### Funding statement

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

### **Conflicts of interest**

None of the authors has a personal or financial relationship with other organizations or people that could influence or bias the content of the paper.

## **Author contributions**

Conceptualization: SI Peña-Corona, EA López-Flores.

Data curation: JP Gomez-Vazquez.

Formal analysis: SI Peña-Corona, JP Gomez-Vazquez, EA López-Flores, LO Arvizu-To-

var, D Vargas-Estrada, JJ Pérez-Rivero, I Juárez-Rodríguez, A Sierra-Resendiz.

Funding acquisition: O. Soberanis-Ramos.

Investigation: SI Peña-Corona, JP Gomez-Vazquez, EA López-Flores, LO Arvizu-Tovar, D Vargas-Estrada, JJ Pérez-Rivero, I Juárez-Rodríguez, A Sierra-Resendiz, O. Soberanis-Ramos.

Methodology: SI Peña-Corona, EA López-Flores, JP Gomez-Vazquez.

Project administration: O Soberanis-Ramos.

Resources: SI Peña-Corona, EA López-Flores.

Software: SI Peña-Corona, JP Gomez-Vazquez.

Supervision: LO Arvizu-Tovar, D Vargas-Estrada, JJ Pérez-Rivero, O. Soberanis-Ramos Validation: SI Peña-Corona, JP Gomez-Vazquez.

- Visualization: SI Peña-Corona, EA López-Flores, JP Gomez-Vazquez, O. Soberanis-Ramos.
- Writing-original draft: SI Peña-Corona, EA López-Flores, JP Gomez-Vazquez, LO Arvizu-Tovar, D Vargas-Estrada, JJ Pérez-Rivero, I Juárez-Rodríguez, A Sierra-Resendiz.

Writing-review and editing: SI Peña-Corona, O. Soberanis-Ramos.

# References

- 1. Driscoll CA, Macdonald DW. Top dogs: wolf domestication and wealth. Journal of Biology. 2010;9(2):1–6. doi: 10.1186/jbiol226.
- Bussolari C, Currin-McCulloch J, Packman W, Kogan L, Erdman P. I couldn't have asked for a better quarantine partner!: Experiences with companion dogs during Covid-19. Animals. 2021;11(2):1–14. doi: 10.3390/ani11020330.
- Cortez-Aguirre GR, Jiménez-Coello M, Gutiérrez-Blanco E, Ortega-Pacheco A. Stray dog population in a city of southern Mexico and its impact on the contamination of public areas. Veterinary Medicine International. 2018;2018:1–6. doi: 10.1155/2018/2381583.

- Downes M, Canty MJ, More SJ. Demography of the pet dog and cat population on the island of Ireland and human factors influencing pet ownership. Preventive Veterinary Medicine. 2009;92(1):140–149. doi: 10.1016/j. prevetmed.2009.07.005.
- Kamler J, Ballard W, Gipson P. Occurrence of Feral Dogs (Canis lupus familiaris) in Northwest Texas: An Observation. Texas Journal of Agriculture and Natural Resources. 2003;16:75–77.
- Hughes J, Macdonald DW. A review of the interactions between free-roaming domestic dogs and wildlife. Biological Conservation. 2013;157:341–351. doi: 10.1016/j.biocon.2012.07.005.
- Macdonald DW, Campbell LAD, Kamler JF, Marino J, Werhahn G, Sillero-Zubiri C. Monogamy: Cause, consequence, or corollary of success in wild canids? Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution. 2019;7:1–28. doi: 10.3389/fevo.2019.00341.
- 8. OIE. Control de las poblaciones de perros vagabundos. In: Código Sanitario para los Animales Terrestres. World Organisation for Animal Health. 2019. pp. 17.
- Gamble L, Gibson A, Shervell K, Lohr F, Otter I, Mellanby R. The problem of stray dogs. Revue Scientifique et Technique (International Office of Epizootics). 2018;37(2):543–550. doi: 10.20506/rst.37.2.2822.
- OPS. Plan de acción para la eliminación de la rabia humana transmitida por perros. Pan American Health Organization; 2013. https://www.paho.org/ panaftosa/index.php?option=com\_docman&view=download&slug=plano-accion-eliminacion-rabia-humana-espanhol-7&Itemid=518
- 11. Cámara de Diputados. Boletín No. 5146. En México está en situación de calle el 70 por ciento de los más de 23 millones de perros y gatos. 2015. http:// www5.diputados.gob.mx/index.php/esl/Comunicacion/Boletines/2015/Febrero/23/5146-En-Mexico-esta-en-situacion-de-calle-el-70-por-ciento-de-los-masde-23-millones-de-perros-y-gatos
- George M, Stanley K, Smith K, David A, Swanson A, Tayman J. Population projections. In: SJ, DA Swanson editors. The Methods and Materials of Demography. 2nd ed. San Diego, California, USA: Elsevier; 2004. p. 561–601
- Belo VS, Werneck GL, da Silva ES, Barbosa DS, Struchiner CJ. Population estimation methods for free-ranging dogs: a systematic review. PLOS ONE. 2015;10(12):1–15. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0144830
- 14. INEGI. Bienestar subjetivo en México-BIARE ampliado. Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografía; 2014. http://www.beta.inegi.org.mx/proyectos/ investigacion/bienestar/ampliado/
- 15. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria; 2018. https://www.R-project. org/
- CONAPO. Proyecciones de la Población de los Municipios de México, 2015-2030. Consejo Nacional de Población; 2019. https://www.gob.mx/ conapo/documentos/proyecciones-de-la-poblacion-de-los-municipios-de-mexico-2015-2030
- Davlin SL, VonVille HM. Canine rabies vaccination and domestic dog population characteristics in the developing world: a systematic review. Vaccine. 2012;30(24):3492–3502. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.03.069
- 18. Gebremedhin EZ, Sarba EJ, Getaneh AM, Tola GK, Endale SS, Marami LM. Demography and determinants of dog and cat ownership in three towns of West

Shoa zone, Oromia Region, Ethiopia. BMC Veterinary Research. 2020;16(1):1– 12. doi: 10.1186/s12917-020-02699-4

- Fishbein DB, Frontini MG, Dobbins JG, Collins EF, Huerta GQ, Rodriguez JDJG, et al. Prevention of canine rabies in rural Mexico: an epidemiologic study of vaccination campaigns. The American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene. 1992;47(3):317–27. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.1992.47.317
- Flores-Ibarra M, Estrella-Valenzuela G. Canine ecology and socioeconomic factors associated with dogs unvaccinated against rabies in a Mexican city across the US–Mexico border. Preventive Veterinary Medicine. 2004;62(2):79–87. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2003.10.002
- Acosta-Jamett G, Cleaveland S, Cunningham AA, Bronsvoort BM de C. Demography of domestic dogs in rural and urban areas of the Coquimbo region of Chile and implications for disease transmission. Preventive Veterinary Medicine. 2010;94(3):272–281. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2010.01.002
- Robinson LE, Miranda ME, Miranda NL, Childs JE. Evaluation of a canine rabies vaccination campaign and characterization of owned-dog populations in the Philippines. The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health. 1996;27(2):250–256.
- 23. Gaceta del Senado. Gaceta LXIV/1PPO-56/86584. Senado de la República; 2018. https://www.senado.gob.mx/64/gaceta\_del\_senado/documento/86584
- Andersen MC, Martin BJ, Roemer GW. Use of matrix population models to estimate the efficacy of euthanasia versus trap-neuter-return for management of free-roaming cats. Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association. 2004;225(12):1871–1876. doi: 10.2460/javma.2004.225.1871.
- Peña-Corona S, León P, Mendieta E, Villanueva M, Salame A, Vargas D, et al. Effect of a single application of coumestrol and/or dimethyl sulfoxide, on sex hormone levels and vaginal cytology of anestrus bitches. Veterinaria México OA. 2019;6(1):1–15. doi: 10.22201/fmvz.24486760e.2019.1.656.
- 26. SAGARPA. Norma Oficial Mexicana NOM-033-SAG/ZOO-2014, métodos para dar muerte a los animales domésticos. Diario Oficial de la Federación; 2014. http:// www.dof.gob.mx/nota\_detalle.php?codigo=5376424&fecha=18/12/2014
- Shariati E, Bakhtiari J, Khalaj A, Niasari-Naslaji A. Comparison between two portal laparoscopy and open surgery for ovariectomy in dogs. Veterinary Research Forum. 2014;5(3):219–223. PMCID: PMC4279650.
- Diase, Baquero OS, Guilloux AGA, Moretti CF, de Lucca T, Rodrigues RCA, et al. Dog and cat management through sterilization: Implications for population dynamics and veterinary public policies. Preventive Veterinary Medicine. 2015;122(1):154–163. doi: 10.1016/j.prevetmed.2015.10.004.
- 29. Concannon PW. Reproductive cycles of the domestic bitch. Animal Reproduction Science. 2011;124(3–4):200–10. doi: 10.1016/j.anireprosci.2010.08.028.
- Sanchez AE, Silva ME. Biología de la gestación en la gata doméstica (Felis catus). Archivos de Medicina Veterinaria. 2002;34(2):147–156. doi:10.4067/ S0301-732X2002000200001.
- ICAM. Humane Cat Population Management Guidance. Stray and Feral Animal Populations. The International Companion Animal Management Coalition; 2011. http://animalstudiesrepository.org/strfapop.